

| | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/785,549 | WARBURTON-PITT ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | James M Hewitt | 3679 |

All Participants:

(1) James M Hewitt.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey Abel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/18/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7, 17-18, 22-23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative and the Examiner developed claim language as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to clarify and obviate certain informalities in the claims.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


J. M. H.
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)